

**David J. Cook (SBN 60859)
COOK COLLECTION
ATTORNEYS, PLC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
165 Fell Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Mail: P.O. Box 270
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 989-4730
Facsimile: (415) 989-0491
Cook@CookCollectionAttorneys.com**

Attorneys for Kate Doe, a creditor

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(San Jose Division)

In re:

Case No.: 20-50454 MEH 13
Chapter 13

GREGORY R. DOUGALD, an individual

Debtor.

Kate Doe, an individual
Plaintiff

**COMPLAINT TO EXEMPT
DEBT FROM DISCHARGE
BASED UPON WILFUL AND
MALICIOUS CONDUCT
PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY
CODE SECTION 523(A)(6).**

Gregory R. Dougald, an individual,
Defendant

Plaintiff Kate Doe hereby complains of Defendant Gregory R. Dougald, an individual, for the following claim of relief under Bankruptcy Code Section 523(a)(6), based upon willful and malicious conduct arising out of a sexual assault, which is now the subject of "no contest," plea of a felony in the prosecution thereof before the Superior Court, County of Santa Clara, State of California as follows:

JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT

1. This is an adversary proceeding in which Plaintiffs are asking for a determination of dischargeability pursuant to sections 523(a)(6) of Title 11 of the United States Code.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(I).

1 3. The Debtor filed his petition under Chapter 13 of the United States Bankruptcy Code
2 on March 6, 2020, and Devin Derham-Burk, is a duly appointed Chapter 13 Trustee herein. The
3 date of the first meet of creditors is April 20, 2020.

4 4. Defendant is a resident of this judicial district, with an address of 6217 Cahalan
5 Ave., San Jose, CA 95123-4505.

6 5. No discharge has been entered herein.

CHARGING ALLEGATIONS

7 6. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all prior allegations herein as fully set forth.

8 7. Over a period of years and while the Plaintiff was a minor, Defendant engaged in
9 numerous acts of sexual assault upon the Plaintiff, all of which is more particularly described in the
10 attached declaration, marked ***Exhibit "A"*** and incorporated by reference.

11 8. The conduct undertaken by the Defendant was willful and malicious as defined
12 under Bankruptcy Code Section 523(a)(6) which conduct was undertaken by the Defendant for the
13 purpose of inflicting injury, pain and damage upon the Plaintiff and was done so maliciously with
14 the subjective intent to cause pain, grief, hardship and injury without any just cause or reason.

15 9. As a result of this conduct, the District Attorney for the County of Santa Clara filed a
16 criminal action entitled *The People of the State of California v. Gregory R. Dougald*, case #
17 B1901192. In the course of these proceedings, the Defendant pled guilty to a no contest plea, to a
18 felony therein. A copy of the Declaration of Todd Emanuel and the deposition transcript thereof is
attached hereto, marked ***Exhibit "B"*** and incorporated by reference.

19 10. Plaintiff is entitled to a civil judgment arising out of a restitution and sentencing
20 proceeding pursuant to Penal Code Section 1214(b) and the filing prosecution of a civil action filed
21 in the Superior Court, County of Santa Clara, State of California, entitled *Kate Doe v. Gregory R.*
22 *Dougald*, case #19CV357830 and any other relief as otherwise may be allowed by law.

23 11. Plaintiff therefore seeks an order and judgment from this Court that the
24 indebtedness, claims, rights of recovery, injury, harm, damages and the like perpetrated by the
25 Defendant upon the Plaintiff, and all as more particularly described in ***Exhibit "A"*** and likewise as
26 described in the civil complaint which is part of the Todd Emanuel Declaration in ***Exhibit "B"*** and
27 all other rights and remedies that arise therefrom, be and the same be declared as a non
28 dischargeable debt under Bankruptcy Code Section 523(a)(6), and that the debt be deemed immune
and free of any claim of a discharge, and that Plaintiff be entitled to prosecute to the full extent

allowed by law all of her civil rights and remedies as described herein, otherwise allowed thereunder. Plaintiff seeks a judgment of non dischargeability for the claims and damages herein under Bankruptcy Code Section 523(a)(6). Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1. That this Court declare, hold, and decree that the indebtedness, claims, rights of recovery, claim of injury or harm, the sexual assaults perpetrated by the Defendants all as more particularly described as *Exhibit "A"* and all rights and remedies described in *Exhibit "B"* be and the same is declared non dischargeable under Bankruptcy Code Section 523(a)(6).

2. That this Court based upon the non dischargeability finding herein permit the Plaintiff to pursue all of her rights and remedies allowed by law, including, but not limited to, seeking a civil judgment arising out of the restitution hearing and sentencing of the Defendant under Penal Code Section 1214(b), and the prosecution up to and through judgment or an appeal of the civil action pending in the Superior Court, County of Santa Clara, State of California or any other venue or jurisdiction thereunder.

3. For cost of suit and reasonable attorney's fee.

4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Cook Collection Attorneys, PLC

Dated: April 7, 2020

/s/ David J. Cook

Attorney for Attorneys for Kate Doe

Exhibit “A”

1 David J. Cook (SBN 60859)
2 COOK COLLECTION
3 ATTORNEYS, PLC
4 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
5 165 Fell Street
6 San Francisco, CA 94102
7 Mail: P.O. Box 270
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
9 Telephone: (415) 989-4730
10 Facsimile: (415) 989-0491
11 Cook@CookCollectionAttorneys.com

12 Attorneys for
13 KATE DOE, A Creditor

14
15 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
16
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 (San Jose Division)

19 IN RE:

20 GREGORY R. DOUGALD, an Individual

21 Debtor.

22 Case No.: 20-50454 MEH 13

23 Chapter 13

24 DECLARATION OF KATE DOE, A
25 CREDITOR, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
26 AND MOTION FOR RELIEF OF STAY
27 UNDER BANKRUPTCY CODE 363(d) TO
28 PERMIT KATE DOE TO PROSECUTE HER
PENDING CIVIL ACTION AND
PROSECUTE HER RESTITUTION RIGHTS
UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION 1219(B)

29 DECLARATION OF KATE DOE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF OF STAY UNDER
30 BANKRUPTCY CODE 363(d) TO PERMIT KATE DOE TO PROSECUTE HER PENDING CIVIL ACTION
31 AND PROSECUTE HER RESTITUTION RIGHTS UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION 1219(B)

1
2 I, KATE DOE, hereby declare as follows:

3 1. I am the victim of sexual abuse.
4 2. Debtor Gregory Dougald sexually abused me in 2011 when I was 13 years
5 old. At the time he did so, he was married to my step-mother and living with us at our
6 residence.

7 3. Debtor Gregory Dougald sexually abused me by, among other things, making
8 me touch his penis, fondling my breasts when I was in bed, and rubbing my vagina. He
9 told me these activities were normal.

10 4. I ultimately reported the matter to the police and Gregory Dougald was
11 arrested and prosecuted by the Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office in *People vs.*
12 *Gregory Dougald*, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. B1901192. I am informed
13 and believe that he pled "no contest" to two felony violations of Penal Code Section 288(a),
14 Lewd and Lascivious Act on a Child Under 14, in connection with his sexual abuse toward
15 me.

16 5. Mr. Dougald has not yet been formally sentenced or ordered to pay victim
17 restitution. I advised the Santa Clara County Probation Department that I want restitution
18 for counseling I have had to try to process the trauma caused by this abuse. When I
19 contacted the probation department to seek restitution, I was unaware that I am also
20 entitled to restitution for the cost of future counseling, pain and suffering, and emotional
21 distress, and I am entitled to compensation for attorney fees. I am informed and believe
22 that my attorney, Todd Emanuel, is retaining a psychiatrist, Dr. Margo Leahy, MD, to
23 conduct a psychiatric evaluation and to write a report for the probation department and the
24 sentencing judge that includes the estimated cost of reasonable and necessary
25 psychological/psychiatric treatment I still need in the future as a result of the sexual abuse.

26 6. I am still constantly haunted by Mr. Dougald's disgusting actions toward me.
27 He exploited me and betrayed me. I still feel anger, sadness, anxiety, shame, and
28 humiliation. I still have nightmares and relive the traumatic events in my mind. I am still

DECLARATION OF KATE DOE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF OF STAY UNDER
BANKRUPTCY CODE 363(d) TO PERMIT KATE DOE TO PROSECUTE HER PENDING CIVIL ACTION
AND PROSECUTE HER RESTITUTION RIGHTS UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION 1219(B)

significantly impacted by Mr. Dougald's sexual abuse toward me. I need further help, and I deserve the full measure of justice and compensation that is available in the form of victim restitution and a collectible civil judgment. I still have to live with this nightmare.

7. I engaged my attorney, Todd Emanuel, to file a civil action in the Santa Clara County Superior Court entitled *Kate Doe v Gregory Dougald*, Case number 19CV357830. A copy of the civil complaint is attached hereto, marked *Exhibit "A"* and incorporated by reference. My civil complaint seeks both compensatory and punitive damages, as well as a request for attorney fees.

8. Debtor Gregory Dougald should not be permitted to escape personal and financial responsibility under the bankruptcy laws in order to escape payment of victim restitution and civil justice to which I am entitled. His acts toward me were malicious and oppressive and I deserve to obtain all appropriate legal remedies.

9. Debtor Gregory Dougald destroyed my self-esteem and sense of worth. As part of the long journey of healing in front of me, I want whatever justice that courts would afford me.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct pursuant to the law of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct on this date of April 15, 2020, at Vista, California.

By: Kate Doe
KATE DOE, Declarant

**DECLARATION OF KATE DOE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF OF STAY UNDER
BANKRUPTCY CODE 363(d) TO PERMIT KATE DOE TO PROSECUTE HER PENDING CIVIL ACTION
AND PROSECUTE HER RESTITUTION RIGHTS UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION 1219(B)**

Exhibit “B”

1 David J. Cook (SBN 60859)
2 COOK COLLECTION
3 ATTORNEYS, PLC
4 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
5 165 Fell Street
6 San Francisco, CA 94102
7 Mail: P.O. Box 270
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
9 Telephone: (415) 989-4730
10 Facsimile: (415) 989-0491
11 Cook@CookCollectionAttorneys.com

12 Attorneys for
13 KATE DOE, A Creditor

14
15
16 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
17
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
19 (San Jose Division)

20 IN RE:

Case No.: 20-50454 MEH 13

21 GREGORY R. DOUGALD, an Individual

Chapter 13

22 Debtor.

23 DECLARATION OF TODD EMANUEL,
24 ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND
25 MOTION FOR RELIEF OF STAY UNDER
26 BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION 362(d) TO
27 PERMIT KATE DOE TO PROSECUTE HER
28 PENDING CIVIL ACTION AND
PROSECUTE HER RESTITUTION RIGHTS
UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION 1219(B)

I, Todd Emanuel hereby declare, and state as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed in the State of California, and I represent Ms. Kate Doe, in the civil proceedings as discussed below and am familiar with the facts and circumstances herein.

2. I began my legal career in 1994 as a prosecutor in the San Mateo County District Attorney's Office. In 1996 I started a law firm with a practice consisting of both criminal defense and personal injury litigation. Since approximately 2007 my firm has been

1
DECLARATION OF TODD EMANUEL, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF OF
STAY UNDER BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION 362(d) TO PERMIT KATE DOE TO PROSECUTE HER
PENDING CIVIL ACTION AND PROSECUTE HER RESTITUTION RIGHTS UNDER PENAL CODE
SECTION 1219(B)

1 fully devoted to cases involving personal injury and wrongful death. I have personally
2 handled dozens of cases arising out of sexual assault, sexual battery, childhood sexual
3 abuse, domestic violence, and other violent matter. I have tried dozens jury trials and have
4 participated in many crime victim restitution hearings.

5 3. I was engaged by Ms. Doe to file a civil action which I undertook by filing a
6 civil complaint in the Santa Clara County Superior Court case number 19CV357830 , a
7 copy of which is attached hereto, marked *Exhibit "A"* and incorporated by reference.

8 4. In the civil proceeding I took the deposition of the defendant, Mr. Dougald,
9 who admitted to the crimes and admitted that he plead no contest to two felony counts of
10 California Penal Code Section 288(a), lewd or lascivious acts with a child under 14 years of
11 age. The relevant portions of the deposition are attached hereto, marked *Exhibit "B"* and
12 incorporated by reference. The defendant's criminal case is entitled *People of the State of*
13 *California vs. Gregory Ross Dougald*, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No.
14 B1901192.

15 5. I have been informed that a Debtor to qualify for a Chapter 13 must owe less
16 than \$419,275.00 for unsecured debt. In this case, at a minimum based upon the scope
17 and nature of the damages and losses sustained by Ms. Doe and the fact of a no contest
18 plea that the restitution award would probably exceed the sum of not less than
19 \$750,000.00. Declarant believes that the civil judgment would probably exceed the sum of
20 \$1,500,000.00. These are good faith estimates based upon Declarants experience in
21 litigating cases of sexual assault. The subject case is especially serious because the
22 defendant held a position of trust as the perpetrator was living in the victim's household.
23 The perpetrator groomed the victim, and he molested her on multiple occasions. [Between
24 the time of the filing of this motion and the date of hearing the unsecured debt limit might be
25 increased.

26 6. Victims of sexual assault are permitted to appear at the sentencing and
27 restitution hearings to make a victim impact statement. The victim's input is important for
28

1 the court's determination of both sentencing and restitution. It is fundamental to a victim's
2 rights that she be able to seek victim restitution and civil justice.

3 7. In this case, the defendant's conduct was particularly malicious and
4 oppressive. Kate Doe should not be prevented from seeking the full measure of her
5 damages as a result of emotional injuries that are severe and permanent.
6

7 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States that the
8 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on the 15th day of April, 2020 at San Mateo,
9 California.

10 By: 

11 Todd P. Emanuel, Esq.
12 Declarant, Attorney for Kate Doe
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit "A"

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Todd P. Emanuel, Esq. 169301 EMANUEL LAW GROUP 411 Borel Avenue, Ste 425 San Mateo, CA 94402 TELEPHONE NO.: (650) 369-8900 FAX NO.: (650) 369-8999 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): todd@teinjurylaw.com</p> <p>ATTORNEY FOR (Name):</p> <p>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA STREET ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE San Jose, CA 95113 BRANCH NAME Downtown Superior Court</p> <p>PLAINTIFF: KATE DOE</p> <p>DEFENDANT: GREGORY DOUGALD</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOES 1 TO 20</p> <p>COMPLAINT-Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED (Number):</p> <p>Type (check all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MOTOR VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (specify): <input type="checkbox"/> Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful Death <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal Injury <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Damages (specify): PUNITIVE</p> <p>Jurisdiction (check all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded <input type="checkbox"/> does not exceed \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint <input type="checkbox"/> from limited to unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> from unlimited to limited</p>	<p>E-FILED FOR COURT USE ONLY 10/31/2019 12:35 PM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 19CV357830 Reviewed By: Patricia Hernandez</p>
--	--

1. Plaintiff (name or names): KATE DOE (pseudonym used to protect privacy of victim of sex abuse.)

alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): GREGORY DOUGALD, DOES 1 TO 20

2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages: 8

3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult

a. except plaintiff (name):

- (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California
- (2) an unincorporated entity (describe):
- (3) a public entity (describe):
- (4) a minor an adult
 - (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed
 - (b) other (specify):
- (5) other (specify):

b. except plaintiff (name):

- (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California
- (2) an unincorporated entity (describe):
- (3) a public entity (describe):
- (4) a minor an adult
 - (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed
 - (b) other (specify):
- (5) other (specify):

Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attachment 3.

Page 1 of 3

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
PLD-PI-001 (Rev. January 1, 2007) **QES** | Essential
www.courtinfo.ca.gov

**COMPLAINT-Personal Injury, Property
Damage, Wrongful Death**

Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12
www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Case: 20-50454 Doc# 14-2 Filed: 04/16/20 Entered: 04/16/20 16:44:07 Page 5 of

Case: 20-50454 Doc# 20 Filed: 04/21/20 Entered: 04/21/20 15:20:43 Page 5 of

SHORT TITLE:
DOE v. DOUGALD

CASE NUMBER:

4. Plaintiff (name):

Is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):

and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.

5. Each defendant named above is a natural person

a. except defendant (name):(1) a business organization, form unknown(2) a corporation(3) an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) a public entity (describe):(5) other (specify):c. except defendant (name):(1) a business organization, form unknown(2) a corporation(3) an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) a public entity (describe):(5) other (specify):b. except defendant (name):(1) a business organization, form unknown(2) a corporation(3) an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) a public entity (describe):(5) other (specify):d. except defendant (name):(1) a business organization, form unknown(2) a corporation(3) an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) a public entity (describe):(5) other (specify): Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Attachment 5.

6. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.

a. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 TO 20 were the agents or employees of other named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.b. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 TO 20 are persons whose capacities are unknown to plaintiff.7. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 362 are (names):

8. This court is the proper court because

- a. at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.
- b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area.
- c. Injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area.
- d. other (specify):

9. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and

- a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or
- b. is excused from complying because (specify):

SHORT TITLE:
DOE v. DOUGALD

CASE NUMBER:

10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached):

- a. Motor Vehicle
- b. General Negligence
- c. Intentional Tort
- d. Product Liability
- e. Premises Liability
- f. Other (specify):

SEXUAL BATTERY (CIV CODE 1708.5)

INTENTIONAL INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

GENDER VIOLENCE (CIV CODE 52.4)

11. Plaintiff has suffered

- a. wage loss
- b. loss of use of property
- c. hospital and medical expenses
- d. general damage
- e. property damage
- f. loss of earning capacity
- g. other damage (specify):

12. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are

- a. listed in Attachment 12.
- b. as follows:

13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.

14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for

- a. (1) compensatory damages

- (2) punitive damages

The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)):

- (1) according to proof

- (2) in the amount of: \$

15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):

Date: October 31, 2019

TODD P. EMANUEL
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

Page 3 of 3

PLD-PI-001 (Rev. January 1, 2007)

CEB
Essential
Forms

COMPLAINT-Personal Injury, Property
Damage, Wrongful Death

Case: 20-50454 Doc# 14-2 Filed: 04/16/20 Entered: 04/16/20 16:44:07 Page 7 of 19

Case: 20-50454 Doc# 27-3 Filed: 04/17/20 Entered: 04/17/20 15:10:43 Page 15 of 39

1 Page 4

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION SEXUAL BATTERY [CIV CODE 1708.5]

4 Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs contained in
5 pages 1 through 3 of her complaint.

6 At all relevant times herein, Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD was plaintiff's
7 stepfather. Plaintiff is named in this lawsuit under a pseudonym to protect her privacy as a
8 victim of child sexual abuse. Plaintiff first met defendant GREGORY DOUGALD around
9 2001. Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD sexually abused plaintiff on a regular basis in
10 2011 when she was in eighth grade and continued until shortly before plaintiff began high
11 school. During this time period, plaintiff was a 13-year-old minor and she did not have the
12 legal capacity to consent to any sexual contact with defendant GREGORY DOUGALD.

13 While plaintiff was a minor, defendant GREGORY DOUGALD harmed plaintiff
14 physically and emotionally by committing the following acts toward her: Groping and
15 fondling her bare breasts, vagina and buttocks; shaving his testicles in front of her; forcing
16 her to watch pornographic videos while he masturbated; using a vibrator in and around
17 plaintiff's clitoris and vagina; forcing plaintiff to touch his penis; and touching plaintiff's
18 breasts and vagina frequently, including while she was napping or sleeping.

19 At all relevant times, defendant GREGORY DOUGALD knew that plaintiff was a
20 minor and that the aforementioned sexual abuse was sexually offensive and harmful to
21 plaintiff.

22 As a result of Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD'S sexual misconduct toward
23 plaintiff, he was formally prosecuted by the Santa Clara County District Attorney in People
24 v. Gregory Ross Dougald, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. B1901192 and
25 was duly convicted of one or more felony sex offenses and will serve a state prison
26 sentence.

27 As a result of the aforementioned conduct, plaintiff has suffered, and continues to
28 suffer, severe emotional distress.

1 Page 5

2 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**
3 **INTENTIONAL INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

4 Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs contained in
5 pages 1 through 4 of her complaint.

6 Plaintiff hereby alleges and claims that defendant GREGORY DOUGALD
7 acted with a willful disregard of plaintiff's rights when he committed the acts complained of
8 herein, intending to cause harmful and offensive contact, and that
9 plaintiff was aware she was being touched and sexually abused in such a harmful
10 and/or offensive manner.

11 The acts of defendant GREGORY DOUGALD complained of herein constitute
12 despicable conduct carried out with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights and
13 safety of plaintiff and said actions were contemptible, wretched and loathsome such that
14 the actions are looked down upon and despised by ordinary decent people. At all times
15 herein defendant GREGORY DOUGALD was aware of the probable dangerous and
16 catastrophic consequences of their wanton and willful conduct and they willfully and
17 deliberately failed to avoid those consequences even though severe and permanent harm
18 and emotional distress were virtually certain.

19 Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD's actions amount to oppression and malice which
20 warrant punitive damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3294.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
3 **GENDER VIOLENCE [CIV CODE 52.4]**

4 Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding
5 pages and paragraphs of this Complaint.

6 Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD directed his coercive sexual conduct toward
7 KATE M., a minor girl, in large part because of her female gender. Defendant
8 GREGORY DOUGALD targeted KATE M., and learned about her vulnerabilities as her
9 stepfather in order to coerce her into sexual conduct.

10 As a proximate result of such negligence, carelessness, recklessness and
11 intentional conduct of Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD, KATE M. was harmed. KATE
12 M. experienced extreme pain and suffering, and severe emotional distress, including but
13 not limited to extreme mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, grief, anxiety,
14 depression, and humiliation, and betrayal. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon
15 alleges that KATE M. will continue to suffer severe emotional distress and extreme
mental pain and suffering in the future as a result of the injuries alleged herein.

16 As a further proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness and
17 intentional conduct of Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD, KATE M. has been damaged in
18 that she has been required to expend money to incur obligations for medical services,
19 drugs, and sundries reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged
20 according to proof.

21 The aforementioned conduct of Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD was
22 accomplished intentionally and/or recklessly with conscious disregard for the health,
23 safety, privacy, freedom, and human dignity of KATE M. It was so outrageous in
24 character and so extreme in degree as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and
25 should be regarded as despicable, atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized society.
26 The aforementioned conduct of Defendant MESSEY was willful, wanton, malicious,
27 oppressive, and outrageous to justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

28 Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Civil Code section 52.4.

1 Page 7

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

3 Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding
4 pages and paragraphs of this Complaint.

5 The aforementioned conduct of Defendant GREGORY DOUGALD was
6 accomplished intentionally and/or recklessly with conscious disregard for the health,
7 safety, privacy, freedom, and human dignity of KATE M. It was so outrageous in
8 character and so extreme in degree as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency,
9 and should be regarded as despicable, atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized
10 society. The aforementioned conduct of Defendant MESSEY was willful, wanton,
11 malicious, oppressive, and outrageous to justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive
12 damages.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant GREGORY
4 DOUGALD as follows:

- 5 1. For general damages as alleged in an amount according to proof;
- 6 2. For special damages as alleged in an amount according to proof;
- 7 3. For prejudgment and statutory interest; and
- 8 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.
- 9 5. For punitive damages according to proof and pursuant to Civil Code
10 sections 3294 and 1708.5;
- 11 6. For attorney's fees and costs for sexual battery pursuant to Civil Code
12 section 1708.5;
- 13 7. For attorney's fees and costs of suit herein incurred pursuant to Civil Code
14 section 52.4; and
- 15 8. For reasonable attorney's fees for defendant's behavior arising out of
16 felony conduct pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.4.

17
18 DATED: October 31, 2019

EMANUEL LAW GROUP

19
20
21 By: 
TODD P. EMANUEL, ESQ.
22 Attorney for Plaintiff

Exhibit "B"

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

KATE DOE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GREGORY DOUGALD and DOES 1
to 20,

Defendants.

)
No. 19CV357830
)
)
)
)

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREGORY DOUGALD,
taken at 411 Borel Avenue, Suite 425, San Mateo,
CA 94402, on Tuesday, January 7, 2020, before SUSAN
F. MAGEE, RPR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 11661, in and for
the State of California.

Job: 48745

January 07, 2020

1 SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

2 Tuesday, January 7, 2020, 12:56 p.m.:

3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. We are
5 on record on January 7th, and the time is 12:56 p.m.

6 My name is Noah Suszckiewicz. I'm the
7 legal videographer, and the court reporter today is
8 Susan Magee, and we are both here representing First
9 Legal Deposition Services in San Mateo, California.
10 Telephone number is (855) 348-4997.

11 This is the beginning of Media Unit 1 for
12 the deposition of Gregory Dougald in the matter of
13 Kate Doe vs. Gregory Dougald. It is being heard
14 before the Superior Court of the State of California
15 in and for the County of Santa Clara. The case
16 number is 19CV357830, and we are located at Emanuel
17 Law Group in San Mateo California.

18 Counsel, would you please identify
19 yourselves for the record.

20 MR. EMANUEL: Todd Emanuel for the
21 plaintiff.

22 MR. SMITH: And Chuck Smith for
23 Mr. Dougald.

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter will
25 swear in the witness.

January 07, 2020

1 **GREGORY DOUGALD,**
2 having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
3

4 **EXAMINATION BY MR. EMANUEL**

5
6 Q. Good morning, Mr. Dougald. I represent the
7 plaintiff in this case. She's named in the
8 complaint as Kate Doe. The name Kate is her real
9 name.

10 Do you know who she is?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And I'll represent for the record that the
13 last name Doe is a fictitious name that we've used
14 in this lawsuit to protect her privacy, but you
15 understand exactly who Kate Doe is; correct?

16 A. I do.

17 Q. All right. Have you ever had your
18 deposition taken before?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Have you ever testified under oath before?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever been a plaintiff in a
23 lawsuit before?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Have you ever been a defendant in a lawsuit

January 07, 2020

1 told me that she wanted me to pay for expected
2 counseling going forward for Kate, and I agreed to
3 that, and I paid her 6,500. It's odd that it's
4 transposed with the 5,600 that Kate asked for
5 during -- with the restitution. I can't explain
6 that, but I noted that.

7 Q. Okay. But you don't know in your own mind
8 how much counseling she may actually need?

9 A. I have no -- I've had no -- aside from the
10 e-mail that Kate sent me, I've had no contact with
11 Karen or Kate since 2014. Kate probably since 2012,
12 but Karen's since 2014.

13 Q. Okay. And did you plead no contest or
14 guilty to one felony charge?

15 A. Two.

16 Q. To two?

17 A. No contest.

18 Q. When you pled no contest to those charges
19 before you had pled no contest in open court, you
20 probably signed a plea form; correct?

21 A. I guess I did.

22 Q. And that -- and you signed --

23 A. Well, I had to sign a form, yeah, before I
24 actually stood up and -- yes.

25 Q. And the sign -- and the form you signed

January 07, 2020

1 contained an understanding of all of your
2 constitutional rights you were giving up and that
3 you were voluntarily and freely pleading no contest;
4 correct?

5 A. Yes, yes.

6 Q. And you understood at the time and you
7 understand now that the no contest plea that you
8 gave to the felony sex offenses involving Kate have
9 the same legal effect as guilty pleas?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And can you tell me -- I mean, I suppose I
12 can get this from a public record, but you have been
13 convicted of a felony charge involving lewd and
14 lascivious acts toward Kate Doe when she was under
15 age 14, those acts being sexual acts; correct?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And what is your understanding of the other
18 felony conviction?

19 A. They're -- they're both the same. There
20 were two counts, 288(a)s.

21 Q. Okay. So it's the same charge, and the two
22 counts represent maybe two different events?

23 A. I don't know.

24 Q. Okay. What caused you to stop? Why was
25 Incident No. 6 the last incident?

1 CASE NAME: **DOE v DOUGALD**

2 CASE NO.: **Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 19CV357830**

3 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

4 I am employed in the City of San Mateo, County of San Mateo, State of California. I
5 am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is
5 411 Borel Avenue, Suite 425, San Mateo, CA 94402.

6 On the date set forth below, following ordinary business practice, I served a true
7 copy of the foregoing document(s) described as:

8 **PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR TRIAL PREFERENCE
SETTING; MEMORANDUM OF POINT AND AUTHORITIES; AND DECLARATION OF
TODD P. EMANUEL**

- 10 (BY FAX) by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax
11 number(s) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list, on this date.
12 (BY MAIL) I caused such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be
13 placed in the United States mail at San Mateo, California.
14 (BY E-MAIL) by transmitting via electronic mail the document(s) listed above to
15 the email address(es) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list,
16 on this date.
17 (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered to an
18 overnight delivery carrier with delivery fees provided for, addressed to the
19 person(s) on whom it is to be served.
20 (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand
21 to the addressee(s).

22 Charles J. Smith
19 LAW OFFICE OF CHARLES J. SMITH III Attorney for Defendant
23 777 Marshall Street
24 Redwood City, CA 94063 GREGORY DOUGALD

- 25 (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
26 California that the above is true and correct.

27 Executed on February 11, 2020, in San Mateo, California.

28 
Gisel Martinez Schulman

PROOF OF SERVICE - CASE NO. 19CV357830

Case: 20-50454 Doc# 14-2 Filed: 04/16/20 Entered: 04/16/20 16:44:07 Page 19

Case: 20-50454 Doc# 20 Filed: 04/21/20 Entered: 04/21/20 15:02:15 Page 27 of 30

1
2 **PROOF OF SERVICE**
3

4 Lars T. Fuller
5 The Fuller Law Firm
6 60 N. Keeble Ave.
7 San Jose, CA 95126-2723

8 Gregory R. Dougald
9 6217 Cahalan Ave.
10 San Jose, CA 95123-4505

11 Devin Derham-Burk
12 P.O. Box 50013
13 San Jose, CA 95150-0013**

14 U.S. Trustee
15 Office of the U.S. Trustee/SJ
16 U.S. Federal Bldg.
17 280 S. 1st St. #268
18 San Jose, CA 95113-3004

19 See all persons on attached list of creditors which are being served by mail [marked Exhibit "A"].

20 I declare:

21 I am employed in the County of San Francisco, California. I am over the age of eighteen
22 (18) years and not a party to the within cause. My business address is 165 Fell Street, San
23 Francisco, CA 94102. On the date set forth below, I served the attached:

24 **COMPLAINT TO EXEMPT DEBT FROM DISCHARGE BASED UPON WILFUL
25 AND MALICIOUS CONDUCT PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION
26 523(A)(6).**

27 on the above-named person(s) by:

28 XXX Service was by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, first-class, envelope sealed and deposited in
29 a U.S. mailbox.

30 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
31 is true and correct. Executed on April 21, 2020 at San Francisco, California.

32 _____
33 /s/ David J. Cook
34 DAVID J. COOK

Exhibit “A”

Label Matrix for local noticing
0971-5
Case 20-50454
California Northern Bankruptcy Court
San Jose
Mon Apr 20 14:13:23 PDT 2020

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
BANKRUPTCY SECTION MS A340
PO BOX 2952
SACRAMENTO CA 95812-2952

U.S. Bankruptcy Court
280 South First Street
Room 3035
San Jose, CA 95113-3099

(p) JPMORGAN CHASE BANK N A
BANKRUPTCY MAIL INTAKE TEAM
700 KANSAS LANE FLOOR 01
MONROE LA 71203-4774

Franchise Tax Board
PO Box 942867
Sacramento, CA 94267-0001

Internal Revenue Service
PO Box 7346
Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
s/b/m/t Chase Bank USA, N.A.
c/o Robertson, Anschutz & Schneid, P.L.
6409 Congress Avenue, suite 100
Boca Raton, FL 33487-2853

Kate Do
co Emanuel Law Group
165 Fell St.
San Francisco, CA 94102-5106

Office of the U.S. Trustee / SJ
U.S. Federal Bldg.
280 S 1st St. #268
San Jose, CA 95113-3004

Star One Credit Union
1090 Blossom Hill Rd
San Jose, CA 95123-1103

Devin Derham-Burk
P.O. Box 50013
San Jose, CA 95150-0013

Gregory R. Dougald
6217 Cahalan Ave
San Jose, CA 95123-4505

Lars T. Fuller
The Fuller Law Firm
60 N Keeble Ave.
San Jose, CA 95126-2723

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (g)(4).

Chase
PO Box 15298
Wilmington, DE 19850-5298

The following recipients may be/have been bypassed for notice due to an undeliverable (u) or duplicate (d) address.

(u) Kate Doe

End of Label Matrix	
Mailable recipients	12
Bypassed recipients	1
Total	13